OFFICIAL FILE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

# STATE OF ILLINOIS ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

| OF  | A HAERI  | TIN( | 21S | ISS  | ION |
|-----|----------|------|-----|------|-----|
| COF | 444 Prin |      |     | a ti | 101 |

Jun 12 10 56 AM 'UI

| Cook County State's Attorney          | ) | CHIEF CLERK'S OFFICE   |
|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------|
|                                       | ) |                        |
| Motion for Stay of Commencement of    | ) |                        |
| Customer Education and Order          | ) | ICC Docket No. 01-0066 |
| Requiring Neustar to Demonstrate that | ) |                        |
| the 847 NPA is, in fact, Exhausted    | ) |                        |

# THE STATE'S ATTORNEY OF COOK COUNTY APPLICATION FOR REHEARING

NOW COME the People of Cook County, ex. rel. RICHARD A. DEVINE, State's Attorney of Cook County, (hereinafter "Applicant") pursuant to Sections 200.880 of the Illinois Commerce Commission's ("Commission's" or "ICC's") Rules of Practice, and hereby submit this <u>Application for Rehearing</u> in the above-captioned proceeding and respectfully request that the Commission reconsider and amend its decision in this proceeding, pursuant to Section 10-113 of the Public Utilities Act. 220 ILCS 5/1-101 et. seq. (West 1986). In support of this Application, the Applicant states the following:

#### I. INTRODUCTION

On May 9, 2001 the Commission issued an Order in which, *inter alia*, it made the following determinations: that questions put to the hearing examiners ("the Examiners") for determination in this docket as specified in their March 15, 2001 ruling for this case be withdrawn; that with the withdrawal of said questions, this docket is now closed; and that subject to the provisions of Section 10-113 of the Public Utilities Act ("PUA") and 83 Ill. Adm. Code 200.880, this Order is final.

For the following reasons, the Commission should grant rehearing to modify its Order in accordance with the specific requirements of the PUA and to include the reasoned analysis which Illinois courts have stated is necessary to legally sustain administrative orders and to which utility consumers and the People of Cook County are entitled.

#### II. Argument

A. The Commission's Order violates Section 10-201(e)(iv)(c) of the PUA because it is Contrary to State Law

The Order's findings warrant reversal under Section 10-201(e)(iv)(c) of the Public Utilities Act. That provision reads, in pertinent part:

The court shall reverse a Commission rule, regulation, order or decision, in whole or in part, if its finds that:...C. The rule, regulation, order to decision is in violation of the State or federal constitution or laws...

As more fully explained in Applicant's Brief on Exceptions to the Hearing Examiners' Proposed Order at paragraph 6, pages 3-4; paragraph 7, pages 5-6; and paragraph 9, pages 13-15 ("Brief on Exceptions" or "Exceptions") which the Commission adopted as its Final Order ("Order"), the Commission's decision to close this docket for the stated purpose of allowing the Commission Staff ("Staff") to address the "issues surrounding NXX code exhaust in NPA 847" informally is contrary to Illinois law. (see, Order at 5,7-8)

The Commission's actions in this regard represent a summary dismissal of the pleadings which Applicant duly filed in accordance with 83 Ill. Adm. Code Sections 200.190. As argued in Applicant's Exceptions (par. 7, pp. 5-6; par 9, pp. 12-13), and in its Reply to Response to Motion for Subpoena Duces Tecum and Response to ICC Staff's Motion to Withdraw (pp. 11-

14), closing this docket at this juncture, would constitute a violation of due process. <sup>1</sup> Further, as described at length in Applicant's Exceptions and prior pleadings, the issues that the Order purports to allow Staff to address "informally" are the subject of ongoing legal proceedings in a contested case, ICC Docket 98-0847. (Exceptions at par. 6, pp. 3-4; par. 8, pp. 6-7; par. 9, pp. 7-8, 13-15. As such, any communication either directly or indirectly between Commission employees who are engaged in investigatory, prosecutorial or advocacy functions and members of the Commission, any hearing examiner in the proceeding, or any Commission employee who is or may be reasonably expected to be involved in the decisional process of the proceeding are prohibited by Section 10-103 of the Public Utilities Act. 220 ILCS 5/10-103. The Commission has erred in its assumption that it and Staff may operate free of the constraints of Section 10-103 once this docket is closed. The Commission relied on this erroneous assumption in its decision. Therefore its unexplained claim that "judicial economy" is advanced by ordering Staff to conduct an informal investigation into 847 exhaust issues is erroneous and would not be sustained on review.

Summary dismissal of Applicant's pleadings contravenes the Commission's rules of practice as stated in 83 Ill. Adm. Code sec. 200.20, 200.25. No objection to the pleadings was raised by any party or by the hearing examiners, or the Commission, by motion. No Motion for Bill of Particulars was filed by any party or by the hearing examiners, or the Commission, yet the Order claims that "Cook County alleged no independent facts supporting an investigation into whether 'all conservation methods have been followed.' "Order at 6. No motion to dismiss was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reply to Response to Motion for Subpoena of the Cook County SAO, ICC Docket 01-0066 (March 12, 2001).

filed based on any alleged defect in the pleadings. Nonetheless, the Commission effectively dismissed the pleadings and closed the docket without notice, and without allowing Applicant an opportunity for a hearing. For these reasons alone, rehearing should be granted.

# B. The Commission's Order does not Contain Analysis Sufficient to Allow an Informed Judicial Review of the Commission's Rulings

By failing to provide sufficient explanation or legal basis for its decision in items (a)-(d) below, the Order lacks the legally requisite analysis needed to determine the Commission's reasoning and therefore is insufficient to sustain the Order on review. Although the Commission need not make findings on every issue of fact, it is required to state facts essential to its rulings in a manner that enables the Appellate Court to intelligently review the decision. Business and Professional People for Public Interest v. ICC, 279 Ill. App.3d 824, 665 N.E.2d 553, 216 Ill.Dec. 493 (1st Dist. 1996), appeal denied 168 Ill.2d 584, 671 N.E.2d 727, 219 Ill.Dec. 560. Moreover, because the Act obliges the Commission to provide "findings and analysis sufficient to allow informed judicial review," the Commission must set forth more reasoning and analysis than would be acceptable from a circuit court. CUB and the People of Cook County v. ICC, 291 Ill. App.3d 300,304, 683 N.E.2d 938,943, 225 III. Dec. 435, 440 (1st Dist. 1997). These principles are even more compelling when applied to legal findings of the Commission. A reviewing court is not bound to give the same deference to an agency's decisions as to questions of law as to its findings of fact. Chicago and North Western Transportation Co., 230 Ill.App2d at 819. Findings of an agency as to questions of law are reversible if the reviewing court finds them to be legally erroneous. Id. at 815.

The Order makes several legal determinations but fails to provide a sufficient basis for

them, including the following: a) the State's Attorney of Cook County's arguments as to what is required for a contested or investigative or other type of formal proceeding are "meaningless to the situation at hand" (Order at 7); b) there are "no allegations pending in any proceeding before the Commission to which Cook County is able to attach itself," and therefore no outstanding issues to decide in the case (Id. at 6); c) there is "no good reason to leave open this proceeding" (Id. at 7) and; d) the Docket can therefore be closed (Id. at 8). For the following reasons, the Commission's Order violates Section 10-201 (e)(iii), which requires a reviewing court to remand an order which "does not contain findings or analysis sufficient to allow an informed judicial review thereof . . ." 220 ILCS 5/10-201(e)(iii).

As addressed in Applicant's Brief on Exceptions at pages 1-16, the Commission's Order fails to adequately discuss, analyze, or address the vast majority of Applicant's pleadings. In failing to provide a meaningful analysis of the legal and factual issues Applicants raised in its pleadings, the Commission's Order warrants remand or reversal on appeal. Applicant's original Motion for Stay, which according to the Order, was the genesis of docket 01-0066,<sup>2</sup> asked the Commission to require Neustar to demonstrate that the 847 NPA was truly exhausted. The Commission has not directed Neustar to do so in this docket, but claims that "the Commission's actions of February 15 and 16, 2001, gave Cook County the relief sought in its Motion." Order at 6. The Order describes the Commission's actions of February 15<sup>th</sup> (letter rejecting new area code and directing Neustar to reevaluate the status of 847 exhaust) as "independent of anything in this docket." Id. at 2. The Commission also refers to its Interim Order of February 16 in this docket

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Order at p. 1

wherein it reclassified as assignable nine NXX codes that previously were "unassignable." Order at 2. The Commission action granted only <u>part</u> of the relief sought by Cook County in its Motion for Stay, and fails to provide adequate analysis for the denial of Cook County's requested relief.

The Order states that Staff "informs" that they are addressing the issue of 847 exhaust informally. Order at 5. For that reason, and for the stated purpose of supporting "the interests of administrative economy" (Order at 7) the Commission has determined that: a) the State's Attorney of Cook County's arguments as to what is required for a contested or investigative or other type of formal proceeding are "meaningless to the situation at hand" (Order at 7); b) there are "no allegations pending in any proceeding before the Commission to which Cook County is able to attach itself," and, therefore, no outstanding issues to decide in the case (Id. at 6); c) there is "no good reason to leave open this proceeding" (Id. at 7) and; d) the docket can therefore be closed.(Id. at 8).

The Order merely claims administrative economy requires closure, but provides no explanation why, and provides no legal support for the claim. The Order fails to examine the pleadings: first, that the ICC has a duty in the instant docket as well as ICC Docket No. 98-0847 to investigate whether a new area code is needed; second, that there is evidence that indicates that carriers have violated conservation measures; and third, that the State's Attorney of Cook County requires access to the data to either prove or disprove said allegations. If the allegations prove true, Cook County and its citizens have suffered injury and have a right to redress those injuries and mitigate or prevent further, similar injury. Without access to the data, Applicant is blocked from performing its Constitutional duty to protect the interests of Cook County and its citizens in the fair, judicious, and effective administration of telephone numbering resources and

will be thereby prejudiced if the Commission does not grant rehearing.

In addition, the Order makes other legal conclusions that find no basis in law and does not attempt to supply any legal basis for them. Most striking is the Order's conclusions that: (1) Cook County did not raise the issue of conservation measures being violated; and (2) that because allegations in CUB's Motion were part of a document "not technically filed" but distributed to 98-0847 parties and publicly reiterated before the Commission in open meetings, Cook County cannot rely on the merits of those allegations. As argued in previous pleadings, even if CUB's allegations were not technically filed, the exact same allegations were presented in CUB's written and oral report of February 13, 2001 to the Commission at an open meeting. See, Cook County Brief on Exceptions at par. 9, pp. 8-11. This is an unprecedented favoring of form over substance by the Commission. It has no support in law. And the Commission should not uphold it in considering this Application for Rehearing. Applicant discussed this at length in its Exceptions and prior pleadings, and therefore will not reiterate the discussion here.

In conclusion, the Order does not present the reasoned analysis required of courts, and administrative agencies in order to sustain a ruling on review. The Order provides insufficient analysis of the basis for its determinations outlined in items (a)- (d), above and items (1) and (2) above. Further the Order violates state law and should be modified in accordance with Applicant's Exceptions. For these and all the reasons set forth in Applicant's Exceptions and prior pleadings referenced therein (pp3-4) in this docket, rehearing should be granted.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, and for the reasons set forth in this Application for Rehearing, Cook County's Brief on Exceptions, and the prior pleadings referenced therein, the People of Cook County, ex. rel. RICHARD A. DEVINE, State's Attorney of Cook County respectfully request that the Commission grant rehearing.

Respectfully submitted

#### RICHARD A. DEVINE

State's Attorney of Cook County

Dated: June 11, 2001

David L. Heaton

Assistant State's Attorney

Environment & Energy Division

#### MARIE SPICUZZA

Deputy Supervisor Environment & Energy Division

#### DAVID L. HEATON

Assistant State's Attorney Environment & Energy Division Cook County State's Attorney's Office 69 West Washington, Suite 700 Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 603-8627

E-mail: dheaton@cookcountygov.com

# STATE OF ILLINOIS ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

| Cook County State's Attorney's                      | ) |                    |
|---|---|--------------------|
|   | ) |                    |
|   | ) |                    |
| Motion for Stay of Commencement of Customer         | ) | Docket No. 01-0066 |
| Education and Order Requiring Neustar to            | ) |                    |
| Demonstrate that the 847 NPA is, in Fact, Exhausted | ) |                    |

#### NOTICE OF FILING

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on this date, June 11, 2001, we have filed with the Chief Clerk of the Illinois Commerce Commission the enclosed Application for Rehearing of the People of Cook County in the above-captioned docket.

#### RICHARD A. DEVINE

State's Attorney of Cook County

David L. Heaton

Assistant State's Attorney

Environment and Energy Division

69 West Washington St., Suite 700

Chicago, Illinois 60602

(312) 603-8625

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, DAVID L. HEATON, hereby certify that a copy of the enclosed Application for Rehearing of the People of Cook County was served on all parties on the attached list on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of June 2001, by hand delivery or U. S. first class-mail prepaid.

David L. Heaton

Assistant State's Attorney

## ICC DOCKET NO. 01-0066

Lee Amundsen Prefered Networks 5210 Capitol Drive Wheeling, IL 60090

Matthew H. Berns Focal Communications Corporation 200 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, IL 60601 mberns@focal.com

John C. Bradley PrimeCo Personal Communications One Pierce Place Suite 1100 Itasca, IL 60143

Mike Brantley
Vice President
Arch Wireless, Inc.
2200 Northlake Parkway, Suite 205
Tucker, GA 30084
mike.brantley@arch.com

Lori Brosky Allegiance Telecom, Inc. 4 Westbrook Corporate Center, Suite 400 Westchester, IL 60154

Edward Butts
Attorney for Illinois Bell Telephone Co.
d/b/a Ameritech Illinois
1800 W. Hawthorn Lane, Room 102
West Chicago, IL 60185
ebutts1000@aol.com

Sam Carter Verizon Wireless 1600 Gold Road, Suite 100 Rolling Meadows, IL 60008

Donna M. Caton Chief Clerk Illinois Commerce Commission 527 E. Capitol Avenue Springfield, IL 62701

Tom Climer Intermedia Comm. 3625 Queen Palm Drive Tampa, FL 33619

Brian L. Crowe Jack A. Pace Assistant Corporation Counsels City of Chicago 30 North LaSalle Street, Suite 900 Chicago, IL 60602-2580

Tony Czech Heartland Communications 4032 N. Nashville Avenue Chicago, IL 60634

Janice A. Dale
Assistant Attorney General
Public Utilties Bureau
100 W. Randolph Street, 11th Floor
Chicago, IL 60601
jdale@atg.state.il.us

Steven Day Metrocall, Inc. 6677 Richmond Highway Alexandria, VA 22036

## ICC DOCKET NO. 01-0066

Dennis M. Doyle Vice President Telecommunications Arch Communications, Inc. 1800 West Park Drive, Suite 250 Westborough, MA 01581-3912 dennis.doyle@arch.com

John F. Dunn
Cheryl Hamill
Attys. for AT&T Communications
of Illinois, Inc.
& AT&T Wireless PCS, Inc.
222 West Adams Street - Suite 1500
Chicago, IL 60606
chamill@att.com

Patricia Fleck Illinois Bell Telephone Company 225 West Randolph Street, Floor 30C Chicago, IL 60606 patricia.fleck@ameritech.com

Patrick N Giordano
James L. Lucari
Attys. for Nextlink, Illinois
Giordano & Associates
55 East Monroe - Suite 3040
Chicago, IL 60603
giordanoassociates@dcreglaw.com
jameslucari@dereglaw.com

J. Seamus Glynn Associate Director Citizens Utility Board 208 S. LaSalle Street, Suite 1760 Chicago, IL 60604 glynn@citizensutilityboard.org Daniel Gonos Winstar Communications 6978 Cedar Brook Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Ed Gordon Metrocall 2235 Enterprise Drive, Suite 3506 Westchester, IL 60154

David S. Guin Atty. for Sprint Spectrum L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS Ross & Hardies 150 N. Michigan Avenue - Suite 2500 Chicago, IL 60601-7567

William A. Haas
Ovation Communications, Inc. d/b/a
McLeod USA Telecommunications
Services, Inc.
McLeod USA Technology Park
6400 C Street S.W.
Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-3177
whaas@mcleodusa.com

Paula Hall Teligent 8065 Leesburg Pike, Suite 300 Vienna, VA 22812

Richard Heatter MGC Communications 3301 N. Buffalo Drive Las Vegas, NV 89126

## ICC DOCKET NO. 01-0066

Carrie J. Hightman Schiff Hardin & Waite 233 S. Wacker Drive 6600 Sears Tower Chicago, IL 60606 chightman@schiffhardin.com

Laura Holloway
Nextel Communications, Inc.
2001 Edmund Halley Drive
Reston, VA 20191-3436
laura.holloway@nextel.com

Ann Hoskins Verizon Wireless 1300 "I" Street, Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20001

Rudy Ingram Chatham Beeper Services 8232 S. Cottage Grove Chicago, IL 60619

Abby Jensen Level 3 Communications 1450 Infinity Drive Louisville, CO 80027

Bryan Jones Aerial Communications 8410 W. Bryn Mawr Avenue Chicago, IL 60631

Frederick M. Joyce, Esq. Alston & Bird, LLP 11th Floor, North Building 601 Pennsylvania Avenue Washington, DC 20004 Henry T. Kelly O'Keefe, Ashenden, Lyons & Ward 30 North LaSalle Street, Suite 4100 Chicago, IL 60602 hkelly@oalw.com

Paul Koewers Metrocall 40 Palafox Pensacola, FL 32501

Victor Koth Sprint PCS 5600 River Road, Suite 500 Rosemont, IL 60018

Jim Lambeth
Director of Telecom for Engineering
Metrocall, Inc.
40 South Palafox Street
Pensacola, FL 32501

James Leach 111 Pfingsten Road, Suite 400 Deerfield, IL 60015

Peter J. Long Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems, Inc. SBC Wireless, Inc. - Great Lakes Region 2000 W. Ameritech Center Drive - 3H82 Hoffman Estates, IL 60195-5000

Karen L. Lusson Citizens Utility Board 349 Kensington Avenue LaGrange, IL 60525 klusson@aol.com

## ICC DOCKET NO. 01-0066

Bob Lyons Multi Computer Systems 817 Kay, Unit 3 Addison, IL 60101

Rendi L. Mann-Stadt J. William Roberts Attorneys for Verizon Wireless Hinshaw & Culbertson 400 S. Ninth Street, Suite 200 Springfield, IL 62701 rstadt@hinshawlaw.com

Barry Matchett
Illinois Commerce Commission
160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
Chicago, Illinois 60601-3104
bmatchet@icc.state.il.us

John W. McCaffrey Cynthia A. Fonner Foley & Lardner Three First National Plaza Suite 4100 Chicago, IL 60602 jmccaffrey@foleylaw.com

Michael McDermott Verizon Wireless 1515 Woodfield Road, Suite 1400 Schaumburg, Illinois 60173 michael.mcdermott@verizonwireless.com

David W. McGann Mpower Communications Corporation Tower 2 - 5th Floor 1701 Golf Road Rolling Meadows, IL 60008 dmcgann@mpowercom.com Daniel E. Meldazis Focal Communications 200 North LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60601

Eve Moran
Hearing Examiner
Illinois Commerce Commission
160 N. LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
Chicago, IL 60601-3104
emoran@icc.state.il.us

Dennis K Muncy
Joseph D. Murphy
Meyer, Capel, Hirschfeld, Muncy
Jahn & Aldeen, P.C.
306 W. Church Street
P.O. Box 6750
Champaign, IL 61826-6750
dmuncy@meyercapel.com
jmurphy@meyercapel.com

Dillon Murchison Paramount Wireless 225 Baronne Street, Suite 1704 New Orleans, LA 70112

Patrick J. Nash, Jr.
Atty. for PCS Primeco Personal
Communications
Pretzel & Stouffer, Chartered
One S. Wacker Drive, Suite 2525
Chicago, IL 60606

Michael J. Nighan Frontier Local Services 180 S. Clinton Avenue Rochester, N.Y. 14646

## ICC DOCKET NO. 01-0066

Steven W. Osborn Beeper Mart, Inc. 6745 W. Johnson Road LaPorte, IN 46350-8248

Ken Pavlowski Source One Wireless 660 S. Federal Chicago, IL 60605

Carol P. Pomponio XO Illinois, Inc. Concourse Level 303 East Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601 cpomponio@xo.com

Derrick Porter Ameritech Cellular Services, Inc. 1515 Woodfield Road, Suite 1400 Schaumburg, IL 60173

Michael R. Romano Level 3 Communications 1025 El Dorado Blvd. Broomfield, CO 80021

Mary Rhodes Adelphia Business Solutions of Illinois, Inc. DDI Plaza Two 500 Thomas Street, Suite 400 Bridgeville, PA 15017

Joe Richmond Mobile Communication 1701 E. Woodfield Road, Suite 820 Schaumburg, IL 60173-5133 J. William Roberts Hinshaw & Culbertson 400 S. Ninth Street, Suite 200 Springfield, IL 62701

Thomas H. Rowland Rowland & Moore 55 East Monroe Street - Suite 3230 Chicago, IL 60603 r&m@telecomreg.com

Roy W. Sears Eckhart, McSwain, Silliman & Sears One First National Plaza 21 South Clark Street, Suite 3160 Chicago, IL 60603-2006

Pamela H. Sherwood Time Warner Telecom 4625 W. 86<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 500 Indianapolis, IN 46268 pamela.sherwood@twtelecom.com

Steven Shirk Paging USA 932 W. Mound Street Columbus, OH 43223

Dana Smith Verizon Wireless 6 Campus Circle Westlake, TX 76262

Kristen Smoot
21st Century Telecom of Illinois, Inc.
350 North Orleans - Suite 600
Chicago, IL 60654
kristen.smoot@rcn.net

## ICC DOCKET NO. 01-0066

Boyd J. Springer Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue 77 West Wacker Drive., Suite 3500 Chicago, IL 60601-1692 bjspringer@jonesday.com

Tom Stanton Illinois Commerce Commission Suite C-800 160 N. LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60601 tstanton@icc.state.il.us

Michael Stern Nextel Communications, Inc. 400 W. Grand Avenue Elmhurst, IL 60126

Renata Suida Pagemart 3333 Lee Parkway, Suite 100 Dallas, TX 75206

Rebecca Sullivan Map Mobile 840 Green Briar Circle Chesapeake, VA 23320

Lera Thomas Net-Tel Corporation 1023 31st N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007-4401

Sandy Tokarek Senior NPA Relief Planner - Central Region Lockheed Martin IMS, NANPA 213 River Farms Dr. West Warwick, RI 02893-1929 Darrell Townsley
MCI Worldcom, Inc.
205 N. Michigan Avenue, Suite 3700
Chicago, IL 60601
Darrell.Townsley@wcom.com

Bill Truscott MetroCall 1919 S. Highland Avenue, 212C Lombard, IL 60148

Richard S. Vanderwoude One Stop Telecom 4900 Reilly Pl. Lisle, IL 60532

Jason Vanick Megsinet 225 W. Ohio, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60610

Joseph Paul Weber
Kara Gibney
Christian Binnig
Mayer, Brown & Platt
190 S. LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
jweber@mayerbrown.com
kgibney@mayerbrown.com

Nancy Wells
AT&T Communications of Illinois
913 S. Sixth Street, Floor 3
Springfield, IL 62703
njwells@att.com

Kimberly D. Wheeler Morrison & Foerster LLP 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20006-1886 kwheeler@mofo.com

## ICC DOCKET NO. 01-0066

Mary Whitting U.S. Xchange, LLC 20 Monroe Avenue N.W., Suite 450 Grand Rapids, MI 49503

Y. Eleanor Willis-Camara Winstar Wireless, Inc. 1615 L Street, N.W. – Suite 1260 Washington, D.C. 20036

Susan L. Winders
Attorney for Nextel
Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue
77 W. Wacker
Chicago, IL 60601-1692
slwinders@jonesday.com

Sherwin H. Zaban Hearing Examiner Illinois Commerce Commission 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800 Chicago, IL 60601

Ken Zinghini Winstar Communications 685 Third Avenue, Floor 10 New York, N. Y. 10017-4027